

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

P.S - APCS (Ex.Br.) -Allegation of possession of assets disproportionate to the known sources of legal income against Sri M. Raghava Rao, former RDO, Narasarao Pet, Guntur Dist. - Departmental action instead of prosecution in a court of Law -Orders -Issued.

REVENUE (VIGILANCE-III) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 1492

Dated:05 -10-2013

Read the following:-

- 1) From the DG, ACB, Hyd Rc No.199/RCA-VGT/2008, dt.25-7-2009.
- 2) G.O.Ms.No.1112, Rev(Vig.III)Dept., dt.13-7-2011
- 3) From Sri. M.Raghava Rao, formerly RDO, Narsaraopet, Guntur
,
representation dt:22-8-2013.

O R D E R:

In the G.O. 2nd read above, sanction was accorded for prosecution of Sri Mukarla Raghava Rao, former Revenue Divisional Officer, Narasarao Pet, Guntur Dist for the offence i.e., possession of assets disproportionate to the known sources of legal income, under Section 13(2) r/w 13(1)(e) of P.C Act, 1988.

2. Sri M. Raghava Rao, former Revenue Divisional Officer, Narasarao Pet, Guntur Dist. in his representation 3rd read above, among other things, has stated that he was not in possession of disproportionate assets. He has stated that he hailed from Perukulapalli village, Duggirala (M) and his father is an agriculturalist and also a businessman who had income not only from agriculture but also from dairy, piggery and fishing and his mother used to assist his father in his activities. He stated that his parents were financially resourceful enough. He has submitted his family's income and expenditure and stated that the value of certain assets was inflated, certain assets belonging to others/received as gifts are attributed to him as if purchased by him without any evidence. He has further stated that his son is in private employment since 1-6-2007. His wife is skilled tailor and does embroidery work and trained in beautician course. She is having independent sources of income ever since their marriage and was never dependent on him. He has stated that he acquired/disposed of properties following due procedure contemplated under APCS(Conduct) Rules, 1964 and his wife is an IT assessee. His mother and his wife are independent of their financial activities and the properties shown were acquired with their own source of income. He has quoted judgments of various courts. Finally he has stated that

there is no fault against him and requested to set aside the prosecution orders and also requested to drop further action.

3. After careful examination of the matter, Government have decided to set aside the prosecution of the Accused Officer and decided to conduct departmental enquiry against the said Accused Officer. Accordingly, prosecution of Sri Mukarla Raghava Rao, former Revenue Divisional Officer, Narasarao Pet, Guntur Dist accorded in the GO 2nd read above is hereby set aside.

4. The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Hyd shall take further necessary action for withdrawal of prosecution of the said Accused Officer and furnish draft AoCs etc against the said Accused Officer to the Government for conducting departmental enquiry against the accused officer.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**B.R.MEENA
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To,
The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Hyd.
Copy to:
The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration,
A.P., Hyderabad.
The Secretary to A.P. Vigilance Commissioner,
A.P.V.C., Secretariat, Hyderabad.
The Revenue (Ser.I) Department.
SC/SF.

//Forwarded :: By order//

SECTION OFFICER